

APPENDIX 7 – PROJECT FEEDBACK QUESTIONNAIRES

Radiology MDT Lead Feedback Questionnaire



Quality improvement project: An evaluation of cancer staging using proforma reporting in Radiology (CASPAR)

CASPAR Radiology MDT Lead - Feedback Questionnaire

Name.....Hospital.....

Date of Feedback.....

1. Which proforma report(s) did you AIM to implement via the CASPAR Project and which were you ABLE to implement? (circle as applicable)

Proforma	AIMED to implement		ABLE to implement	
Lung	Yes	No	Yes	No
Prostate	Yes	No	Yes	No
Endometrium	Yes	No	Yes	No
Cervix	Yes	No	Yes	No
Rectum	Yes	No	Yes	No
Colon	Yes	No	Yes	No

2. What were the obstacles to implementing the proforma(s) if any? (please tick/specify all that apply)

IF NONE, go to Q5

- Pressure of work/Time needed to implement
- IT problems/RIS did not support the proformas
- Colleague resistance to proforma reporting
- Other (Please specify).....

3. What did you do to try to overcome these obstacles?

1.....

2.....

3.....

4.....

4. Of the obstacles listed in Q2, which were successfully overcome?

Obstacle 1 overcome? yes no - How overcome/why not?

Obstacle 2 overcome? yes no - How overcome/why not?

Obstacle 3 overcome? yes no - How overcome/why not?

Obstacle 4 overcome? yes no - How overcome/why not?

If you were NOT able to implement any proforma reporting for the CASPAR Project, please GO TO Q12

If you WERE able to implement any proforma reporting for the CASPAR project please answer the rest of the questions below:

5. How did you implement the proforma(s) for the CASPAR project?

- In Radiology Information System (RIS) provided by
 - HSS Agfa Isoft McKesson RADIS Sunquest CSC
- Via a Voice Recognition system
- On paper
- Other.....

6. Please rate the proforma you were most closely involved in using, by circling a number against each statement below:

Circle Proforma – Lung Prostate Endometrial Cervical Rectal Colon	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
a. The proforma report was easy to complete.	1	2	3	4	5
b. The proforma report was self explanatory.	1	2	3	4	5
c. The proforma report included all appropriate key items.	1	2	3	4	5
d. The proforma report included appropriate categories within each item.	1	2	3	4	5
e. The order of items on the proforma report corresponded to the order in which I review the images.	1	2	3	4	5
f. The proforma report improved the overall quality of my reports.	1	2	3	4	5
h. The guidance notes provided with the proforma report were not necessary.	1	2	3	4	5
i. I had no technical difficulties in completing the proforma reports	1	2	3	4	5
j. I will consider using the proforma for MDT reports in my clinical practice in the future.	1	2	3	4	5

7. How many proforma reports have you (personally) produced during the pilot?

- 0
- 1-5
- 6-10
- >10

8. Compared to your usual narrative report, how long did the proforma reports take you to complete? (after an initial familiarization period)

- Much longer to complete proforma
- A bit longer to complete proforma
- About the same time
- A bit quicker to complete proforma
- Much quicker to complete proforma

9. Please comment on the completeness of the proforma report. Were there any important items that were not included or were unclear on the proforma report?

10. Please comment on the categories provided for each item. Were there any missing categories or categories that were unclear?

11. Additional comments/suggestions on the proforma:

12. Please rate the Guidance notes of the proforma you were most closely involved in using, by circling a number against each statement below:

Circle Proforma – Lung Prostate Endometrial Cervical Rectal Colon	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
a. The guidance notes were clear and concise. (if not please comment below (Q12))	1	2	3	4	5
b. The guidance notes helped me to complete the proforma report.	1	2	3	4	5
d. Including sample images would improve the quality of the guidance notes.	1	2	3	4	5
e. The length of the guidance notes was appropriate. (if not please comment below (Q12))	1	2	3	4	5
f. The amount of detail in the guidance notes was appropriate. (if not please comment below (Q12))	1	2	3	4	5

13. Additional comments/suggestions on the guidance notes:

14. Overall Evaluation of the CASPAR Project

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree
Overall this exercise was worthwhile to me.	1	2	3	4	5
I would consider participating in a similar exercise in the future.	1	2	3	4	5

15. Additional Comments/suggestions on the CASPAR Project

Thank you for taking the time to answer these questions.

Please return your completed questionnaire to your hospital CASPAR project lead.

End User Questionnaire



Quality improvement project: An evaluation of cancer staging using proforma reporting in Radiology (CASPAR) MDT end-user - Feedback Questionnaire

Background

The long term aim of this work is to develop proforma-based reports for all relevant cancer imaging to allow for the electronic extraction of coded (or codable) key fields, especially related to disease stage and major diagnostic features, thus enriching the range of data available for the monitoring of the quality of cancer care and outcomes for patients.

The CASPAR project is the first specific piece of work aimed at addressing this issue and is a joint initiative between the Royal College of Radiologists and the NCIN. It is funded by a grant from the Academy of Royal Colleges.

The main aim of the CASPAR project is:

“To evaluate a pilot of the implementation of proforma reporting for cancers of the lung and gynaecological (cervical & endometrial), colorectal and prostate cancers.”

The proforma based reports have been developed by expert groups under the auspices of the RCR with feedback from the relevant NCIN SSCRGs. This first round of proformas concentrate on staging data. This pilot study covers patients with a Radiology report relating to cancer of one of the following:

- Lung
- Prostate
- Endometrium
- Cervix
- Rectum
- Colon

We are seeking view from a range of MDT members on the extent to which they feel the introduction of these structured reports has impacted on the quality of the decision making within the MDT. We would be grateful for your feedback by completing the following short questionnaire. It should only take you a few minutes.

**Quality improvement project: An evaluation of cancer staging using proforma
reporting in Radiology (CASPAR)**

MDT end-user - Feedback Questionnaire

Your Hospital.....

(Please tick boxes as appropriate)

1. To which Tumour MDT(s) do you belong?

- Lung
- Prostate
- Gynaecology (Endometrial)
- Gynaecology (cervix)
- Lower GI (Colon)
- Lower GI (Rectum)

2. What is your Position?

- Surgeon
- Physician
- Medical Oncologist
- Clinical Oncologist
- Palliative Care Physician
- Clinical Nurse Specialist
- MDT co-ordinator
- MDT audit officer/ data manager
- Other (specify)

3. Site of MDT

- Cancer Centre
- Cancer Unit

4. **Impact of proforma reporting:** With respect to the following areas, please put a tick in the box which best describes the extent to which you feel that the introduction of structured, proforma-based radiology reporting has improved you and/or your team’s ability to manage patients. **Please comment in any of the areas if you feel it has had a negative impact.**

	Not at all	To a small extent	To a moderate extent	To a large extent	Comments
Diagnosis					
Stage					
Tumour resectability					
Non-surgical treatments					
Overall management plan					
Efficiency of MDT working					
MDT data collection					
Clinical trial entry and/or documentation					
Other (please specify below*)					

*Description of 'Other' category above:
.....

5. Is there anything further you would like to see incorporated into the reports?
.....
.....

6. Any other comments?
.....
.....
.....

Thank you for taking the time to answer these questions

Please return your completed questionnaire to your **MDT Radiology Lead**, who will return it to the
CASPAP project lead